In re Continuation Reissue Application of: Uber, III et al.

Serial No.: 09/545,582

Filing Date: April 7, 2000

Third Supplemental Amendments

REMARKS

Following up on the Examiner Interview conducted on January 19, 2006, Applicants thank the Examiner for her time and consideration. Further to the Examiner Interview Summary, which appears accurate, Applicants specifically discussed the prior art Sugahara, Saini, Reilly, and Boyd, and an Overview of the Litigation involving RE36,648 and RE37,602, a copy of which is enclosed herewith. Applicants also discussed the amendments and remarks presented herein. Fifth Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and fee are being concurrently filed herewith.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Specification of the application has been amended herein to properly reflect the Applicants' claim for priority by replacing the section entitled "Cross Reference to the Related Patents" as defined above, after the title and before the first line of the application.

Applicants further respectfully submit that a Terminal Disclaimer over the parent Reissue Patent No. RE36,648 was filed and entered on May 24, 2001, however, a Terminal Disclaimer over the Reissue Patent No. RE37,602 and accompanying fee, and a Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) are being filed herewith.

A Reissue Application Declaration by the Assignee is also being filed herewith. Furthermore, a Supplemental Reissue Declaration with a Statement of Error signed by all inventors, will be filed to replace the original reissue declaration as soon as it is available.

Claims 1-116 were pending in the application as a result of entering the Second Supplemental Amendment (Third Revision) submitted on January 12, 2005. For the efficiency of prosecution in the present case, Claims 1-53, 57-58, 60-61, and 63-116 have been canceled herein without prejudice, and new claims 117-124 have been added. Applicants reserve all rights to prosecute any canceled subject matter in future applications.

There are now three independent claims remaining (Claims 54, 118 and 124) and a total of seventeen claims pending. Claims 54-56, 59, and 62 are amended herein to further clarify the AO 1416505.2

In re Continuation Reissue Application of: Uber, III et al.

Serial No.: 09/545,582 Filing Date: April 7, 2000 Third Supplemental Amendments

subject matter being claimed, and in particular to claim those certain embodiments where the injector control unit includes a drive motor, and is connected to the injector by a non-rigid drive connection, and the communication control link is substantially non-reactive with the magnetic resonance imaging system during operation. Newly added claims 118-123 combine the two syringe arrangement from claim 25 (and its dependent claims) in the parent reissue patent No. RE37,602 ("the '602 patent) with the limitation of two motors and specifying that the control link is substantially non-reactive with the magnetic resonance imaging system during operation. Newly added claims 124-128 combine all of the elements recited in claims 9 and 25 in the '602 patent with the additional limitation requiring two motors and specifying that the control link is substantially non-reactive with the magnetic resonance imaging system during operation. Accordingly, no new matter is introduced. Applicants request entry of this Amendment prior to examination of this continuation reissue application.

For clarification and compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.173(c), the status of the claims pending in the above-captioned application is presented below:

Claim No.	Date of Amendment	Status
1-23	04/07/00	Canceled
24-34	04/07/00	Canceled
	(1st Preliminary Amendment)	
35-53	05/25/00	Canceled
	(2 nd Preliminary Amendment)	
54-56	05/25/00	Pending
	(2 nd Preliminary Amendment)	
57-58	05/25/00	Canceled
	(2 nd Preliminary Amendment)	
59	05/25/00	Pending
	(2 nd Preliminary Amendment)	
60-61	05/25/00	Canceled
	(2 nd Preliminary Amendment)	
62	05/25/00	Pending
	(2 nd Preliminary Amendment)	
63-78	05/13/02	Canceled
	(1st Supplemental Amendment)	
79-116	09/30/02	Canceled
	(2 nd Supplemental Amendment*)	
117-128	1/24/06	New
	(3 rd Supplemental Amendment)	

^{* 2&}lt;sup>nd</sup> Supplemental Amendment has three revisions dated 03/7/03, 10/1/04 and 1/12/05 for resolving claim amendment formality issues.

Serial No.: 09/545,582 Filing Date: April 7, 2000

Third Supplemental Amendments

Applicants respectfully point out that Claims 1-62 had been previously examined and rejected by the Examiner Brian Casler in his First Office Action dated March 21, 2001. A Response to the First Office Action was submitted on May 21, 2001. In the Response, Applicants respectfully argued that the Claims 1-62 are patentable over the cited prior art Sugahara (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,014), Saini et al., Reilly et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,677,980), and Boyd (U.S. Patent No. 4,613,328). For example, Applicants argued that there is no suggestion in Sugahara for any fiber optic communications link between the system controller and the infusion apparatus control means; and Saini does not describe or suggest the use of a battery for powering the motor control circuitry and the electric drive motor and for minimizing electromagnetic interference with the magnetic resonance imaging apparatus. Accordingly, Saini cannot be properly combined with Sugahara to render the claims obvious. Furthermore, Applicants argued that Reilly et al. cannot be properly combined with Saini and Sugahara to render the claims obvious because Reilly et al. does not describe or suggest a construction of at least two syringes mounted so that each syringe may be engaged with a respective drive motor at the same time (See Amendment dated May 7, 2001, pages 5 and 7-9).

Applicants further respectfully submit that the amended pending Claims 54-56, 59, 62, and newly added Claims 117-128 are patentable over these prior art references. It should be pointed out that the pending claims are directed to an infusion system including an external system controller and a substantially non-reactive communication control link extending into the shielded room. Such arrangement is not taught by Saini and Sugahara. Instead, Sugahara teaches the transmission of video signals and voice signals across a link extending into a shielded room. Sugahara does not disclose a communication control link extending from outside a shielded MRI room to the inside of such a room, and the communication control link is substantially non-reactive during operation of the two units to generate diagnostic images. Sugahara does not disclose, teach or suggest the transmission of signals for controlling an infusion operation or an infusion apparatus. In addition, neither Saini nor Sugahara disclose a system controller <u>outside</u> a shielded MRI room. Saini teaches a controller inside the shielded room, while Sugahara discloses a video processor outside a shielded room sending video signals

In re Continuation Reissue Application of: Uber, III et al.

Serial No.: 09/545,582 Filing Date: April 7, 2000

Third Supplemental Amendments

inside a shielded room. Accordingly, a combination of Sugahara and Saini could not produce the

claimed invention, since all that Sugahara teaches is a link transmitting display signals. This

combination, were it to be made, could at best produce an infusion system with a system

controller inside the shielded room, and a link transmitting video to a display.

Moreover, Reilly et al. does not teach the claimed invention alone, and/or in combination

with Saini and Sagahara. The Reilly patent discloses a turret arrangement in which two syringes

can be mounted in a rotating turret. The injector of Reilly has only one drive mechanism, and

only one syringe is engaged by the drive mechanism at a time. If the user wants to switch

syringes, the turret must be rotated (like the cylinder of a revolver, for example) to take the first

syringe out of registration with the drive mechanism, thereby bringing the second syringe into

registration. It is not possible for both syringes to be engaged in Reilly. In contrast, the claimed

invention accommodates two syringes, with both syringes capable of being operably engaged at

the same time. This advantageous arrangement forecloses the need to manually rotate a turret or

other arrangement so as to bring the second syringe into registration, since both syringes can be

operably engaged. Therefore, the pending claims, as amended herein, are believed to be in

condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned attorney at 404-853-8081 if doing so

will facilitate prosecution of the application. No fees are believed to be due at this time.

However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees due or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account 19-5029 (Ref.: 23578-0010).

Respectfully submitted

Reg. No. 36,714

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP

999 Peachtree Street, NE

Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3996 Phone: 404.853.8000

Fax:

404.853.8806

Attorney Docket No.: 23578-0010

AO 1416505.2